MUS. COMP. ZOOL

BREVINDERSITY A

Museum of Comparative Zoology

US ISSN 0006-9698

CAMBRIDGE, MASS.

30 July 1976

Number 440

WEST INDIAN ANOLES: A TAXONOMIC AND EVOLUTIONARY SUMMARY 1. INTRODUCTION AND A SPECIES LIST

ERNEST E. WILLIAMS¹

ABSTRACT. Accumulation of morphological, karyological and ecological data on West Indian anoline lizards permits and requires a taxonomic analysis more elaborate than usual and employing both formal and informal taxonomic categories. The categories are defined in this, the first paper of a series, and a species list of West Indian anolines displays the new arrangement.

INTRODUCTION

Since the pioneer study by Etheridge (1960) there has been a remarkable growth both in our factual knowledge and in the sophistication of our knowledge of one group of lizards—the anolines. This has been especially true in the islands of the West Indies. The mainland members have at the same time received less attention and have proved more refractory. (Certainly the latter fact has influenced the first.)

There is, however, nowhere any gathering together of the new knowledge. Partly this results from the continuing activity. Not even species lists have remained constant. A statement that Cuba or Hispaniola has x species is outdated before it is published. In the same way ecological information, ideas and theory have expanded far past the published record. It is not easy to keep on top of the field.

Just because of this it is necessary that beginnings of a summary be made. Both for old hands and for newcomers an exposition of how far we've come, where we are, and where

¹Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

we might go will be a useful thing. I propose as a first step a taxonomic-evolutionary summary. The summary is inevitably provisional and, more than that, intended to promote research, provoke criticism and encourage the search for further evidence and the endeavor for greater understanding.

My objective has been to illuminate ecology and evolution. The taxonomic study I will here provide is one means to that end. The West Indian anoles are a group of enormous diversity, but the interest of this diversity is not in its bare existence but in its structure and origin — its balance, the interlocking of its parts and the historical paths by which this was achieved.

This is no simple radiation — not just the checkerboard subdivision of some original widespread unit. Here the severed units have doubled back upon one another and are completely layered, juxtaposed and interdigitated. The fitting together

of so many species is the problem.

Such complexity involves several levels. One such level is generic. In the West Indies I recognize three very distinct genera, two genera autochthonous and old, species-poor and obviously relict, one genus species-rich beyond ordinary imagining, a colonizing and expanding group, a newer invader from the mainlands that adjoin the West Indies to the west and south. The latter is, of course, the group, as full of problems as of interest, that must receive the maximal attention it deserves.

In point of fact, there are few problems for the two old

species-poor genera, but also little information.

One of these two genera, Chamaeleolis, is represented by two giant casque-headed arboreal species on Cuba which much resemble the tree-crown giants of the Anolis equestris group with which they share the island (Garrido and Schwartz, 1968). The species of Chamaeleolis, however, are more primitive osteologically, more chameleon-like in movement and appearance and apparently rarer than giant Anolis.

The other of the genera, Chamaelinorops, initially erroneously reported from the tiny mile-square island of Navassa, west of southwestern Hispaniola, is, in fact, from the south island of Hispaniola, dwarf, ground-dwelling and extremely peculiar osteologically. Richard Thomas (1966) recognizes only a single

species.

Chamaeleolis and Chamaelinorops, except that they are true anoles sharing the characteristic adhesive pads of Anolis and the typical Anolis dewlap, are not close to each other nor to

Anolis. Their greatest interest lies in the possibility that they may represent an early (pre-Miocene?) invasion of the Greater Antilles and may be relicts of an earlier island radiation of which we otherwise know nothing.

The three species just mentioned apart, the remaining anolines of the West Indies are here regarded as belonging to the genus Anolis. Fortunately this overlarge taxon divides naturally, as Etheridge showed in 1960, into two sections called by Etheridge alpha and beta. Though this is a dichotomy based on an apparently trivial character, it makes excellent geographic sense. Savage (1973) has suggested that, instead of two sections, two genera be recognized — Anolis Daudin (type Anolis carolinensis Voigt) and Norops Wagler (type Anolis auratus Daudin). This would substitute formal designations for the currently informal ones but it would leave no formal (or informal) term for the two sections (or genera) taken together. Savage's action is well taken if alpha Anolis are closer to Chamaeleolis and Phenacosaurus than to beta Anolis or the betas are closer to Chamaelinorops than to the alphas. This is a point I regard as at least doubtful, preferring to leave it in decent obscurity until there is more and better evidence. My own suggested phylogeny for anolines would have the alpha anoles the more primitive (as they certainly are in many respects), and the beta type of caudal transverse process (which does not resemble those of other iguanids or of other lizard groups) arising secondarily, but only once, from the alpha condition in which the transverse process is absent. The transverse processes of Chamaelinorops have only a verbal similarity to those of beta anoles; I question the closeness of the relationship. Richard Etheridge would disagree with this scheme fundamentally. Very recent immunological data (Dessauer et al.) reported at the 1974 meetings of the American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists question the fundamental distinction. I remain convinced of the reality of the two groups but, while so much remains controversial. I do not see the value of the formal designation; it is not even useful mnemonically.

Means to Analysis of a Radiation: The Group Terms Utilized

The two sections of Anolis have, according to my interpretation, provided three and only three invasions of the West Indies — one by betas into Jamaica, one by alphas into Hispaniola, and a third by alphas into St. Lucia. (But see Yang, Soulé and Gorman [1974] for the evidence for a landfall for the third invasion in Grenada instead.) All the extraordinary proliferation, diversity and complexity of Anolis in the West Indies has arisen out of these three stocks by intra-island radiation and inter-island interchange. There is therefore a formidable problem in analysis.

Fortunately, part of the basic information is already available. A just published checklist of West Indian Amphibians and Reptiles by Schwartz and Thomas (1975) provides an informed and very careful list of Antillean taxa (including *Anolis*) with original citations and synonymies, as well as the distributions as known to the date of publication. The species are, however, listed alphabetically; no taxonomic arrangement or indication of relationship is attempted. The taxonomic ordering presented below, in remedying this, endeavors to synthesize a great deal of biological information.

I have myself seen 72 species or members of superspecies of West Indian anoles in the field, six additional alive in captivity, and 33 more as preserved specimens. Only three species, all very recently described from Cuba, are known to me only from descriptions (A. pygmaequestris, A. juangundlachi and A. fugitivus). I have collected and studied anoles on all four of the Greater Antilles, on several of the Lesser Antilles and on one island in the Bahamas. This field knowledge I regard as basic to an undestanding of the group. I have also participated in, encouraged or aided studies at many other levels — osteology, karyotypes, electrophoresis, aut- and synecological studies. All of this information is utilized in the classification below.

No classification can mirror perfectly the complexity of the evolutionary events that have produced the more than 100 species of West Indian Anolis. Nor, indeed, are the minuter details of relationship and evolutionary sequence so well understood (or likely to be) that we should attempt so perfect a system. Nonetheless the wealth of species to be allocated and the amount and variety of detail known about these same species seem to me to afford at once the possibility and the justification for an arrangement elaborate much beyond the usual. I therefore utilize a number of informal terms, partly based on those employed by Etheridge in 1960, but descending into greater detail. I define these below.

FORMAL AND INFORMAL CATEGORIES USED

Section. The primary dichotomy, a group osteologically defined at the highest level below the genus. Proposed by Etheridge (1960) for his alpha-beta division with Anolis osteologically defined on presence or absence of transverse processes on posterior caudal vertebrae.

Subsection. A division setting off a major portion of a section, again osteologically defined. This term, not used by Etheridge, distinguishes punctetus and carolinensis subunits within the alpha section, basing them on the relationship of interclavicle and clavicle. In the shorthand terms used below, the relationship is described in terms of an arrow-shaped or T-shaped interclavicle.

Series. A phyletic unit under the subsection definable on multiple characters. Osteological, chromosomal and even scale characters are utilized. Ordinarily this includes more than one species group and displays substantial morphological and even chromosomal diversity, i.e., products of a complex radiation that inferentially included several intermediate or annectent forms now extinct.

I have found series to be the unit in terms of which evolution is most conveniently discussed. I shall, therefore, in succeeding papers mention more characters under this unit than under taxa at a higher or lower level. This permits higher comparability between series and puts on display also many of the features and conditions the evolution of which I will later trace.

This is not to say that the greater number of characters makes the definition of series sharper or more rigorous. On the contrary, precisely because series are the units within which evolution is most readily seen, recognition of series is a matter of some subtlety—as Tables 1–4 show. Morphological and karyological characters may broadly overlap (Table 1). Ecology and geography are major clues, but convergence in ecological adaptations is rampant (Table 2), and geography must be used with discretion.

A balancing of all the evidence — not all of which is on record in Tables 1–4 — is the basis for the recognition of series.

subseries: a category utilized when a series has several recognizable subunits, ordinarily including more than one species group.

- species group: the products of a simple radiation but often including species now widely sympatric (they may exhibit chromosomal diversity). I have sometimes used species group for a single species when that species is very distinct and may well be the last remnant of a radiation.
- species subgroup: employed when readily definable and sympatric subgroups can be determined.
- superspecies: the products of a radiation, the representatives of which are still completely or mostly allopatric and usually chromosomally uniform. Species status often uncertain. (See below.)
- species: the most recent evidence indicates that the recognition of valid species is much harder than was formally assumed. It cannot be routinely assumed that allopatry or parapatry imply subspecific status. As I indicate above, I have used the superspecies category for a number of equivocal or doubtful cases.
- subspecies: intraspecies differentiation differs so much in different species that this unit is of very different value from one case to another. I do not report currently recognized subspecies in the species list below. I will do so in the detailed species group and species definitions to come, but I will do so without passing judgment on their validity. I imply only that the differentiation so indicated in contrast with that implied by the use of superspecies is clearly-intraspecific.

THE ANOLINES OF THE WEST INDIES:1

Taxon	Island or Bank ²
Genus Chamaeleolis COCTEAU species chamaeleonides DUMERIL AND BIBRON 1837 horeus COPF, 1864	Cuba
Genus Changelinorops SCHMIDT	11:
species barbouri SCHMIDI 1919 Genus Anolis DAUDIN	Hıspanıola
Alpha section	
punctatus subsection	
roquet series	
<i>Iuciae</i> species group	
luciae superspecies	
luciae Ĝarman 1887	St. Lucia
blanquillanus Hummelinck 1940	Blanquilla
bonairensis Ruthven 1923	Bonaire
richardi superspecies	
richardi Dumeril and Bibron 1837	Grenada, Tobago
griseus Garman 1887	St. Vincent
1My definition of the West Indies is like most definitions of the	West Indies idiosunceatic I omit from this
griseus Garman 1887 The state of the West Indies is, like most definitions of the West Indies, idiosyncratic. I omit from this list	St. Vincent West Indies, idiosyncratic, I

anoles of Providencia and San Andres (pinchoti and concolor respectively) although other reptiles on these islands have West Indian affinities, because the anoles themselves have no Caribbean relatives. I include the anoles of Bonaire and Blanquilla (bonairensis and blanquillanus) because they are obvious members of the roquet species group of the southern Lesser Antilles.

²Non-West Indian ranges are not cited here but will be given in the fuller discussion in succeeding papers in this series.

		_	
	ł	L	
	ı	_	۰
	ì	7	
	ĺ	7	ζ
	ı	7	
•	1	٠.	
٠		•	
r			

trinitatis REINHARDT AND LUTKEN3 roquet superspecies roquet species group

extremus Garman 1840

aeneus Gray

roquet Lacepede 1788 cuvieri series

ricordii species group roosevelti GRANT 1931

ricordii Dumeril and Bibron 1837 barahonae Williams 1962 ricordii superspecies

cuvieri MERREM 1820 cuvieri species group bimaculatus series

baleatus Cope 1864

Island or Bank

St. Vincent, introduced on Trinidad

Grenada, introduced on Trinidad, Barbados, introduced on Bermuda Martinique Guyana

Culebra

Hispaniola Hispaniola Hispaniola

Puerto Rico

the differentiation of members of a superspecies has not achieved the grade of ecological differentiation that permits them to ³The device of using capitals for the names of species describers except in the case of the members of superspecies is mostly allopatric species about which questions of species status have often arisen. The emphasis on this difference does not imply that many or most of the members of superspecies are not biological species. Many are known to be in contact without interbreeding or with only a small zone of infertile hybrids. It calls attention solely to the demonstrable fact that entirely for the purpose of making the sharply distinct sympatric species contrast with those closely related allopatric or be widely sympatric,

Puerto Rico	Puerto Rico	Bahamas, introduced in Florida Hispaniola, introduced in Florida	Hispaniola Gonave, Hispaniola	Hispaniola Alto Velo	St. Croix	Anguilla Bank Saba	Statia Bank
stratulus subseries evermanni species group evermanni STEJNEGER 1904 stratulus species orom	stratulus subgroup stratulus COPE 1861 distichus subgroup	distichus Superspecies distichus Cope 1861 dominicensis Reinhardt and Lütken 1862	orevrostris superspecies brevirostris Bocourt 1870 caudalis Cochran 1932	Species A Webster and Burns ¹ altavelensis NOBLE AND HASSLER himaculotus subseries	acutus species group acutus HALLOWELL 1856 bimaculatus species group	bimaculatus subgroup gingivinus COPE 1864 sabanus GARMAN 1887	onnacutatus superspectes bimacutatus Sparrmann 1784

¹Webster and Burns (1974) demonstrated the distinctness of this taxon, but did not name it.

Taxon

leachii Dumeril and Bibron 1837 marmoratus superspecies nubilus Garman 1887 lividus Garman 1887

marmoratus Dumeril and Bibron 1837

ferreus Cope 1864

oculatus COPE 1880 oculatus subgroup

wattsi species group

wattsi Boulenger 1894 forresti Barbour 1923 wattsi superspecies

schwartzi Lazell 1972

pogus Lazell 1972 cybotes subseries cristatellus series

cybotes Cope 1862 cybotes superspecies cybotes species group

whitemani Williams 1963 haetianus Garman 1887 longitibialis Noble 1923 armouri Cochran 1934 shrevei Cochran 1939

Island or Bank Antigua Bank

Guadeloupe Bank Montserrat Redonda

Marie Galante

Dominica

Anguilla Bank Statia Bank Barbuda Antigua

Hispaniola Hispaniola Hispaniola Hispaniola Hispaniola

Hispaniola	Puerto Rico	Puerto Rico Puerto Rico Puerto Rico	Puerto Rico Inagua, Caicos Bank, Mariguana	Mona Pucrto Rico	Hispaniola	Puerto Rico	Hispaniola
marcanoi Williams 1975	cristatellus subseries pulchellus species group gundlachi subgroup gundlachi PETERS 1876	pulchellus subgroup krugi PETERS 1876 pulchellus DUMERIL AND BIBRON 1837 poncensis STEJNEGER 1904	cristatellus species group cristatellus superspecies cristatellus Dumeril and Bibron 1837 scriptus Garman 1887	monensis superspecies monensis Stejneger 1904 cooki Grant 1931	arolinensis subsection occultus scries sheplani subseries sheplani SCHWARTZ 1974	occultus subscries occultus WILLIAMS AND RIVERO 1965	darlingtoni series darlingtoni species group darlingtoni COCHRAN 1935

Island or Bank	Hispaniola	Hispaniola	Hispaniola	Hispaniola Hispaniola	Hispaniola Hispaniola Hispaniola	Cuba Cuba Cuba Cuba Cuba Cuba
[axon	insolitus WILLIAMS AND RAND 1969 monticola series	fowleri species group fowleri SCHWARTZ 1973 christobhei snecies oronn	christophei WILLIAMS 1960 monticola species group	etheriagei Subgroup etheriagei WILLIAMS 1962 rimarum THOMAS AND SCHWARTZ 1967 monticola subgroup	monticola SHREÝE 1936 rupinae WILLIAMS AND WEBSTER 1974 koopmani RAND 1961 carolinensis series	equestris species group equestris superspecies equestris Merrem 1820 luteogularis Noble and Hassler 1935 noblei Barbour and Shreve 1935 smallwoodi Schwartz 1964 baracoae Schwartz 1964 pigmaequestris GARRIDO 1975 chlorocyanus species group

Hispaniola Hispaniola	Hispaniola Hispaniola	Hispaniola Hispaniola Hispaniola		Cuba	Cay Sal Little Cayman Navassa
chlorocyanus superspecies¹ chlorocyanus Dumeril and Bibron 1837 coelestinus Cope 1862 almiger superspecies	aliniger Mertens 1939 singularis Williams 1965² hendersoni species group hendersoni superspecies	hendersoni Cochran 1923 bahorucoensis Noble and Hassler 1933 dolizhocethalus Williams 1963	carolinensis species group carolinensis subgroup carolinensis superspecies [carolinensis Voigt 1832]3	porcatus Gray 1840	smanagamus Barbour and Shreve 1935 fairchildi Barbour and Shreve 1935 maynardi Garman 1888 longiceps Schmidt 1919

¹These may be only ecological equivalents, not closest relatives. Were this demonstrated, the superspecies grouping should be dropped.

²There is an undescribed blue-dewlapped form resembling A. singularis in the Sierra Martin Garcia of the Dominican

Republic.

3Cited as the type species of the group only.

Island or Bank	Acklins Cuba, Bay Islands, Half Moon Cay Cuba	a a	а	а	3
Is	Acklins Cuba,] Cuba	Cuba Cuba Cuba	Cuba Cuba	Cuba Cuba	Cuba Cuba
Taxon	brunneus Cope 1894 allisoni BARBOUR 1928 isolepis COPE 1861 angusticeps subgroup angusticeps HAII OWEII 1956	arguate ps. Transported 1956 argillaceus species group argillaceus COPE 1862 centralis PETERS 1970 loysiana DUMERIL AND BIBRON 1837 lucius series	tucius superspecies tucius Dumeril and Bibron 1837 argenteolus Cope 1861	bartschi COCHRAN 1928 vermiculatus DUMERIL AND BIBRON 1837 alutaceus series	alutaceus species group alutaceus superspecies clivicola Barbour and Shreve 1935 alutaceus Cope 1861

	*		Hispaniola Hispaniola Hispaniola
cupeyalenrus COPE 1861 Cuba cupeyalensis PETERS 1970 Cuba lugitivus GARRIDO 1975 Cuba	1975 THOMAS 1975	spectrum Peters vanidicus Garrido and Schwartz 1972 Cuba	semilineatus Species group semilineatus COPE 1864 Hispa olssoni SCHMIDT 1919 Hispa new species ¹ Hispa

uneatopus GRAY 1840 reconditus UNDERWOOD & WILLIAMS 1959 Jamaica garmani STEJNEGER 1899 conspersus Garman 1887 opalinus GOSSE 1850 lineatopus GRAY 1840 grahami Gray 1845 grahami superspecies grahami species group grahami series Beta section

Jamaica, introduced into Bermuda

Jamaica

Grand Cayman

Jamaica

1An undescribed species from the Barahona Peninsula (Hertz, in preparation). The third species previously recognized, A. cochranae Williams, has been demonstrated to merge clinally into semilineatus.

Island or Bank	Jamaica	Cuba, Bahamas, Little Cayman, costal areas of Mexico, Belize,	introduced into Florida Cayman Brac	Swan Island	Cuba Cuba	Cuba Cuba	Cuba	Cuba Ouba Cuba	CulDa
Taxon	sagrei series valencienni species group valencienni DUMERIL AND BIBRON 1837 sagrei species group	sagrei superspecies sagrei Dumeril and Bibron 1837	luteosignifer Garman 1888 bremeri Barbour 1914	nelsoni Barbour 1914 homolechis sunerspecies	homolechis Cope 1864 quadriocellifer Barbour and Ramsden 1919	jubar Schwartz 1968 mestrei BARBOUR AND RAMSDEN 1916	allogus superspecies ahli Barbour 1925	allogus Barbour and Ramsden 1919 rubribarbus BARBOUR AND RAMSDEN 1919 Cuba imias RUIBAL AND WILLIAMS 1961 obhiolebis COPF. 1861	Spinosepes Corr. 1001

TABLE 11

Selected characters of West Indian Anolis series

Oewlap Pre- Lumbars Asentate	saçrals (mode) caudals		24	3(2)	3/4 5/6	3/4	`	24 3	24 3	8 9 3 24 5 7/8	24 3	24 3/4	one 24 4			4 3/4	
Caudal	crest		1	+	+1	+1		1	1	1	+	ł	5	1		1	+1
Vertebral Caudal Dewlap	crest		1	+	ı	l		(+)	(+)	.	+	1	1			1	1
,	(2n)	ction	36/34	36	26-33	36/27-29	ction	36	7/44	36/40-48	36	36	36/34	36		30/30-37	30/28
Inscrip- tional		bunctatus subsection	4:0	3:2/3:1		2:5	ensis subsection	6:0/5:1 36	4:0/3:1	3:1	3:1	3:1/2:2	3:1	3:1		3:1	
Autotomy Splenial Inscrip- planes present tional		= puncta	+	+1	+1	+1	= caroline	۸.	۸.	<u>۸</u> .	+	(1		I	I
Autotomy planes	open	rclavicle	+	+	+	+	clavicle =	+1	1	+	+	+	+	+		+	+
₹,	SERIES	α — arrow inte	(roquet) 3	cuvieri	bimaculatus	cristatellus	$\alpha - T - interc$	occultus	darlingtoni	monticola	equestris	carolinensis	lucius	alutaceus	~	grahami	sagrei

Descendencial data are from the notes and tables for his thesis, which were generously provided by Kichard Etheridge.

Karyotype information is from George Corman.

'Inscriptional ribs are calcified cartilage elements embedded in the myocommata in iguanid lizards (Etheridge, 1965). They are either attached to the corresponding dorsal ribs or float free in the myocommata. The number of fixed or floating inscriptional ribs is a taxonomic character reported by a numerical formula (as in the present table), which gives first the number of fixed ribs, second the number of free ribs.

3In my listing this is a sub-series, but as the only West Indian representative of mainland series that are poorly understood, they deserve a place here.

TABLE 2 ECOMORPHS¹

rock and other	:	+ +	+
trunk	+	+ +	
grass bush	+	+, +,	+
trunk ground	+		++
trunk crown dwarf	+	+	+
twig trunk dwarf crown	+	+	+
		+ + +	
twig giant		+	+
crown	+	+.	+
generalist	nterclavicle + + +	clavicle	
SERIES	x—arrow interclavicle (roquet) + cuvieri bimaculatus + cristatellus	α — T interclavicle occultus darlingtoni monticola equestris carolinensis lucius alutaceus	β grahami sagrei

In the sense of Rand and Williams (1969) and Williams (1972) adaptive types distinctive in size, shape and color and in other aspects of their morphology and with characteristic stations in trees or on the ground, as suggested by their names. Different ecomorphs are often syntopic, but members of the same ecomorph are usually allotopic (climatically separated) or allopatric (geographically separated).

TABLE 3
Distribution of series

		Distrib	Distribution of series		
SERIES	Cuba	Jamaica	Hispaniola	Puerto Rico	Lesser Antilles
$[\alpha - \text{arrow inte}]$	rclavicle = lat	$[\alpha - arrow]$ interclavicle = $latifrons$ subsection]			+
(roquet) cuvieri			+	+	+
bimaculatus			+	+ ·	+
cristatellus			+	+	
$[\alpha-T-interc$	lavicle = caro	$[\alpha - T - interclavicle = carolinensis subsection]$			
\dot{o}			+	+	
darlingtoni			+		
monticola			+		
equestris	+.		+		
carolinensis	+				
lucius	+,				
alutaceus	+_		Ξ		
8					
grahami		<u>+</u> :			
sagrei	+	+			

Taxonomic source (series) of ecomorphs by island

other	lucius + sagrci		monticola	
trunk	caro- linensis		bima- culatus + monti- cola	
grass bush	alutaceus + sagrei		alutaceus + monti- cola	cristate llus
trunk	sagrei	grahami + sagrei	cristatellus	cristatellus
trunk crown	caro- linensis	grahami	caro- linensis	bima- culatus
twig dwarf	caro- linensis	(grahami)	occultus + dar- lingtoni	occultus + bima- culatus
twig		sagrei	darling. ton i	
crown giant	equestris	grahami	cuvieri	cuvieri
genera- list		grahami		
	Cuba	Jamaica	His- paniola	Puerto Rico

Northern Lesser Antilles

bima-culatus

Southern Lesser Antiles

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The new data on West Indian anolines is the work of many hands. The singularities of the present taxonomic arrangement are the author's own. Financial support for the work resulting in the present paper has been provided by the National Science Foundation under grant GB-37731X and prior grants to E. E. Williams.

REFERENCES CITED

- ETHERIDGE, R. 1960. The relationships of the anoles (Reptilia: Sauria, Iguanidae): an interpretation based on skeletal morphology. Ann Arbor, Michigan: University Microfilms. xiv + 236 pp.
- ______. 1965. The abdominal skeleton of lizards in the family Iguanidae. Herpetologica 21: 161-168.
- Garrido, O. H. and A. Schwartz. 1968. Cuban lizards of the genus *Chamaeleolis* Quart. Jour. Florida Acad. Sci. 30: 197–220.
- GORMAN, G. C. 1965. Interspecific karyotypic variation as a systematic character in the genus *Anolis* (Sauria, Iguanidae). Nature 208: 95-97.
- interpretation. In A. B. Chiarelli and E. Capanna eds., Cytotaxonomy and Vertebrate Evolution, pp. 349–424. Academic Press, New York.
- GORMAN, G. C. AND BRAD STAMM. 1975. The *Anolis* lizards of Mona, Redonda, and La Blanquilla: chromosomes, relationships, and natural history notes. Jour. Herp. 9: 197–205.
- RAND, A. S. AND E. E. WILLIAMS. 1969. The anoles of La Palma: aspects of their ecological relationships. Breviora Mus. Comp. Zool. No. 327: 1–17.
- SAVAGE, J. 1973. A preliminary handlist of the herpetofauna of Costa Rica. Los Angles, 17 pp.
- SCHWARTZ, A. AND R. THOMAS. 1975. A checklist of West Indian amphibians and reptiles. Special Publication Carnegie Museum No. 1: 1-216.
- THOMAS, R. 1966. A reassessment of the fauna of Navassa Island. Jour. Ohio Herp. Soc. 5: 73–89.
- WILLIAMS, E. E. 1972. The origin of faunas. Evolution of lizard congeners in a complex island fauna: a trial analysis. Evolutionary Biology 6: 47-89.
- YANG, S. Y., M. SOULÉ AND G. C. GORMAN. 1974. Anolis lizards of the eastern Caribbean: a case study in evolution. 1. Genetic relationships, phylogeny and colonization sequence of the roquet group. Syst. Zool. 23: 387-399.